DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Baker & Daniels

EST. 1863

111 E. WAYNE STREET, SUITE 800 · FORT WAYNE, INDIANA 46802 · (260) 424-8000 · FAX (260) 460-1700 · www.bakerdaniels.com

H. JOHN OKESON
DIRECT (260) 460-1654
DIRECT FAX (260) 423-6254
e mail: hjokeson@bakerd.com

FORT WAYNE
INDIANAPOLIS
SOUTH BEND
ELKHART
WASHINGTON, D.C.
QINGDAO, P.R. CHINA

April 15, 200 RECEIVED

APR 16 2002

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

PERFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

94-102

Re:

Informal Complain Concerning VoiceStream Wireless

Dear Secretary:

Enclosed are two (2) originals and four (4) copies of the Indiana Wireless Enhanced 911 Advisory Board's Informal Complaint concerning VoiceStream Wireless. Please file stamp and return the copies to my colleague filing these documents on my behalf. The original documents should be directed to the Commission's Enforcement Bureau.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. Please advise my colleague if you have questions or feel free to contact me at (260) 460-1654 if you require additional information.

Very truly yours,

BAKER & DANJELS

H. John Okeso

HJO:tlw

Enclosures

c: Hon. Tim Berry, Board Chair

No. of Copies rec'd Ot/ List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Baker & Daniels

111 E. WAYNE STREET, SUITE 800 · FORT WAYNE, INDIANA 46802 · (260) 424-8000 · FAX (260) 460-1700 · www.bakerdaniels.com

H. JOHN OKESON DIRECT (260) 460-1654 DIRECT FAX (260) 423-6254 e mail: hjokeson@bakerd.com

FORT WAYNE INDIANAPOLIS SOUTH BEND ELKHART WASHINGTON, D.C. QINGDAO, P.R. CHINA

April 15, 200 RECEIVED

APR 1 6 2002

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Informal Complain Concerning VoiceStream Wireless

Dear Secretary:

Enclosed are two (2) originals and four (4) copies of the Indiana Wireless Enhanced 911 Advisory Board's Informal Complaint concerning VoiceStream Wireless. Please file stamp and return the copies to my colleague filing these documents on my behalf. The original documents should be directed to the Commission's Enforcement Bureau.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. Please advise my colleague if you have questions or feel free to contact me at (260) 460-1654 if you require additional information.

Very truly yours,

HJO:tlw

Enclosures

Hon. Tim Berry, Board Chair c:

No. of Copies rec'd Ot/ List ABCDE

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION RECEIVED Washington, D.C. 20554

APR 1 6 2002

In the Matter of)	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Revision of the Commission's Rules)	
To Ensure Compatibility with)	CC Docket No. 94-102
Enhanced 911 Emergency)	
Calling Systems)	
0 ,	Ó	

INDIANA WIRELESS ENHANCED 911 ADVISORY BOARD INFORMAL COMPLAINT CONCERNING VOICESTREAM WIRELESS

At its meeting on April 5, 2002, the Indiana Wireless Enhanced 911 Advisory Board ("Board") voted 7-0 to file this Informal Complaint with the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") against VoiceStream Wireless ("VoiceStream"). This Informal Complaint addresses VoiceStream's failure to deploy Phase I enhanced 911 service in any Indiana county in its service area in violation of the Commission's orders related to CC Docket No. 94-102 as clarified in the *City of Richardson* order. The Board hereby requests the Commission to investigate this Informal Complaint and to take appropriate remedial action against VoiceStream to enforce the Commission's orders.

I. Indiana Background

The Board was created when the Indiana General Assembly adopted the Indiana Enhanced Wireless Emergency Telephone Service Act in 1998.² The Governor appoints the Board's members, which include five Public Safety Answering Point ("PSAP") representatives from various regions of Indiana and five wireless carrier representatives. The Treasurer of State serves as the Chairman of the Board ex officio but may only vote to break ties.³ Although representatives of Cingular Wireless, Verizon Wireless, and

¹ In the Matter of Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, City of Richardson Petition for Declaratory Ruling and/or Clarification, FCC 01-293 (Adopted October 2, 2001, and released October 17, 2001).
² IC 36-8-16.5 et sea.

³ SEA 180, adopted during the 2002 legislative session and signed into law by the Governor, will reduce the number of members of the Board to three PSAP representatives and three carrier representatives on July 1, 2002. The act also will imbue the Treasurer of State with full voting rights on the Board.

Sprint PCS serve on the Board, there are currently two vacancies among the five seats reserved for wireless carriers.

The Board administers the Indiana Wireless Emergency Telephone System Fund ("Fund"). The Fund is financed by a monthly surcharge, currently set at \$0.65 per month, which is collected by each wireless carrier from subscribers in the state. The Board uses the Fund to reimburse PSAPs and wireless carriers for costs incurred to comply with the Commission's E911 service requirements.

II. CC Docket No. 94-102 and the City of Richardson Order

Through the initial Report and Order⁵ and its progeny, the Commission established and then revised its mandate that wireless carriers deploy Phase I and Phase II coverage. The Commission clarified its expectations and the obligations of wireless carriers and PSAPs in its recent *City of Richardson* decision. The Commission's order clearly stated "that a wireless carrier must implement E911 within the six-month period following the date of the PSAP's request." This deadline to turn on service applies unless the wireless carrier challenges the validity of a PSAP request. The Board is unaware of any VoiceStream challenge to an Indiana PSAP service request.

Even if VoiceStream contested the validity of requests for service, the *City of Richardson* order established three criteria to determine if the PSAP's request for service will withstand a challenge from a wireless carrier. According to the Commission's order:

a wireless carrier must implement E911 within the six-month period following the date of the PSAP's request if the PSAP making the request demonstrates that: (a) a mechanism is in place by which the PSAP will recover its costs of the facilities and equipment necessary to receive and utilize the E911 data elements; (b) the PSAP has ordered the equipment necessary to receive and utilize the E911 data and the equipment will be installed and capable of receiving and utilizing that data no later than six months following its request; and (c) the PSAP had made a timely request to the local exchange carrier (LEC) for the necessary trunking and other facilities to enable the E911 data to be transmitted to the PSAP. In the alternative, a challenged PSAP may demonstrate that a funding mechanism is in place, that it is Phase I-capable using a Non-call Associated Signaling (NCAS) technology, and that it has made a timely request to the appropriate LEC for the upgrade to the ALI database necessary to enable the PSAP to receive the Phase II data.⁷

The Board asserts that the Commission's criteria are met when applied to Indiana PSAPs requesting E911 service from VoiceStream. The Board provides full cost

⁴ Under SEA 180, wireless providers will begin collecting the monthly surcharge or its equivalent from all prepaid wireless customers as well. This provision takes effect on January 1, 2003.

⁵ CC Docket No. 94-102 (Adopted June 12, 1996, and released July 26, 1996).

⁶ City of Richardson order, FCC 01-293 at page 1.

⁷ City of Richardson order, FCC 01-293, Appendix C.

recovery for PSAPs that incur expenses related to improvements for E911 facilities and equipment. Ninety of Indiana's ninety-two counties currently receive Phase I service through at least one wireless carrier, demonstrating that these counties meet the technical requirements clarified by the Commission in the City of Richardson order.

Finally, the Board surveyed PSAPs in July 2001 and found that more than thirty PSAPs were ready to receive Phase II service. VoiceStream is long overdue in meeting its obligations to Indiana citizens and PSAPs in accordance with the Commission's orders. ¹⁰

III. VoiceStream Deployment Status in Indiana

The Board has worked with VoiceStream and Indiana PSAPs to encourage the carrier to deploy Phase I service.

A. PSAP Requests for VoiceStream Service

VoiceStream currently provides mobile telephone service in approximately sixty-six of Indiana's ninety-two counties. 11 According to VoiceStream's records, the carrier has failed to meet the Commission's six-month deadline for deployment in at least thirty-four counties in its coverage area.

The Board's files include requests from thirty-four Indiana PSAPs to VoiceStream for Phase I service and another twenty-two requests for Phase II coverage. VoiceStream's own submissions to the Board, however, state that it has received fifty requests for Phase I service, many of them submitted in 1999 and 2000. In fact, VoiceStream's own records indicate that its first request for Phase I service in Indiana was submitted by the New Albany Police Department for Floyd County on September 29, 1997, more than four years ago. In addition, VoiceStream's records reflect that twenty-seven PSAPs have requested Phase II service. VoiceStream's most recent listing of Phase I and Phase II requests is attached as Exhibit A. The Board also attaches its most recent statewide Phase I coverage map as Exhibit B to illustrate the deployments completed by other wireless carriers since the first PSAP turned on Phase I coverage in 1998.

⁸ Two Indiana counties, Parke and Martin, still do not have consolidated land line 911 services. Parke County is making progress toward deploying 911 capability and plans to offer E911 service later this year. ⁹ "Phase II Readiness Survey," Indiana Wireless Enhanced 911 Advisory Board, July 16, 2001.

¹⁰ City of Richardson established the conditions for carriers to deploy E911 service and addressed a challenge by VoiceStream to a PSAP request for service.

¹¹ E-mail from Ms. Lynn Mell, VoiceStream Manager of Regulatory Affairs, to David Certo, executive director of the Board, April 9, 2002. Some other counties also have "no significant coverage," according to VoiceStream.

¹² Review of the Board's file copies of PSAP letters requesting Phase I and Phase II service from VoiceStream, April 10, 2002.

¹³ Submitted to the Board by VoiceStream via e-mail attachment on April 9, 2002.

B. The Board's Efforts to Encourage VoiceStream E911 Deployments

The Board has worked diligently in its attempts to communicate with VoiceStream and to assist it in deploying E911 service. The Board has met repeatedly with VoiceStream representatives, both at the Board's regular meetings and at VoiceStream's headquarters in Washington, in efforts to facilitate deployments. In addition, the Board has requested VoiceStream to commit to a firm schedule of deployments in Indiana with dates for deployment by county. VoiceStream has expressly refused to submit a detailed deployment schedule to the Board and has yet to provide any Phase I coverage in Indiana.

V. Request for Relief

Because of VoiceStream's failure to comply with the Commission's order and its refusal to satisfy the Board's requests for commitments to deploy, the Board respectfully asks the Commission to investigate this Informal Complaint. The Board further requests that the Commission take appropriate remedial action to enforce its orders and to compel VoiceStream to deploy E911 service in Indiana.

Respectfully submitted,

Indiana Wireless Enhanced 911 Advisory Board

Bv

The Hon. Tim Berry, Board Chairman

Treasurer of the State of Indiana

242 State House

Indianapolis, IN 46204

H. John Okeson, Counsel for the Board

BAKER & DANIELS

111 East Wayne Street, Suite 800

Fort Wayne, IN 46802

Telephone: (219) 424-8000

VoiceStream Wireless

VOICEOUEAIII VIITEIESS								
		PSAP Request-	PSAP Request Date	Deployed-	PSAP Request-	Deployed-	If Not	
County	Coverage	Phase I	Phase 1	Phase	Phase II	Phase II	Current Status	
Adams	x	×	01/14/2002		×		Sterus	1
Allen	×	×	02/02/2001		×		 	1
Bartholomew	×	х	04/23/1999		 			1
								no significant coverag
		2	447.575.60		2			no coverage
Boone	х		no request					
Sieve								no coverage
Carroll	х	х	04/09/2001					
Cass	x	х	11/02/2001		х			
Clark	х	х	09/30/1997		x			
Clay	x	x	02/06/2000					
Clinton	х	х	10/02/2001					
Crawford	х		no request					
Daviess	x		no request					
Dearborn	х		no request					
Decatur	x	х	02/01/1999			_		
DeKalb	х	x	11/12/1998	"	×			
Delaware	x	х	06/21/2001		х			
DuBois	x	x	01/09/2002					
Elkhart	х	x	03/11/1999					
								no coverage
Floyd	×	×	09/29/1997					'
								no coverage
								no significant coverag
Fulton	×		no request					
Gibson	x	х	02/16/2001	·	×			
Grant	Х	x	01/10/2001	***************************************	x			
Green and the second								no coverage
Hamilton	X	×	02/03/1999					
Hancock	×	×	01/31/2001		х			
Harrison	x		no request					
Hendricks	X	×	06/18/2001					
Henry	X	×	12/10/2001		х			
Howard	×	х	03/25/1999					
	x	×	01/23/2001		х			
	X		no request					
***************************************	Х		no request					
100			24/22/22/24					no coverage
and the second s	Х	Х	04/20/2001					
								no significant coverag
		×	01/02/2002		<u> </u>	<u></u>		
		×	10/23/2001		x			
		×	07/13/2000		х			
			01/18/2001					
ake ;	×	×	11/09/2000					

LaPorte	x	x	12/04/2000	Γ	F -		T	1
Carate	^		04/16/2000					no coverage
Madison	x	x	04/17/2001		x			no coverage
Marion	×	x	03/08/2002		x	 	 	
Marshall	x	<u>^</u>	no request		<u>^</u>	 	 	
Process			no request					no coverage
Miami	x	v	07/12/2000					no coverage
Monroe	^ x	×	08/23/2001				<u> </u>	
Montgomery	×	×	09/13/2001		×	<u> </u>		
Morgan	x	x	12/27/2001			 	 -	
No. of	2							no significant coverag
0.5								no coverage
Ohio	х		no request					l
Care III								no coverage
C								no coverage
Parks								no coverage
Perry	x	X	02/07/2002		x			
Pike.								no significant coverag
Porter	х	x	11/05/2001					
								no coverage
Paleet			0.000					no coverage
Putnam	х	х	11/07/2001		х			
Randelph			11/58/2007		-			no coverage
Ripley	×	х	04/11/2001		х			_
Rush	×		no request					
Scott	×	x	01/22/2001		x			
Shelby	x		no request					
Spencer	х	х	12/14/2001					
St Joseph	x		02/25/2002		×			
Starke	×	х	01/18/2001		×			:
Steuben	×	X	03/22/1999		x			
Stelleren								no coverage
								no significant coverag
Tippecanoe	×	x	03/25/2002		x			
Tipton	х	// 65/4 ed 5/8000000000000000000000000000000000000	no request			V. 00. 1. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.		
Jeton								no coverage
Vanderburgh	x	х	01/16/2002					
								no coverage
Vigo	x	x	09/21/2000			0.000,000,000	***************************************	
			1114/2001				*****************	no coverage
ik ik il								no coverage
Varrick	The state of the s	x	01/04/2001					
			TO RECURSE					no significant coverag
Vayne		x	11/02/2001		x			
Velis		×	11/02/2001	;	·			
Vhite	×		no request					
Vhitiey	x ;	(10/10/2000					

